

AGENDA ITEM 4 (iii)

SUBJECT: DISPOSAL OF LAND AT PORTAL ROAD, MONMOUTH

MEETING:

Cabinet 5th March 2008

DIVISION/WARDS AFFECTED: Overmonnow

PURPOSE

 $\vec{\Xi}$ To consider whether to impose landlord conditions development site at Portal Road, Monmouth. Ξ. marketing the

2 RECOMMENDATIONS:

2.1 the basis of hotel (C1) and Business Use (B1) with no retail offers being considered, or whether to sell on an unrestricted basis. That Cabinet determine whether the Portal Road site is to be marketed on

ယ KEY ISSUES:

- 3.7 to C1 and B1 to ensure that the development is of a prestigious nature and acres and is sloping in nature. Advised by the Unitary Development Plan, the Head of Planning has indicated that uses on the site should be restricted The Portal Road site is situated in a prominent location at the gateway to Monmouth as shown in Appendix 1. The site extends to approximately 2.2 meets the need of the local community and economy.
- 3.2 members. The Monmouth and District Chamber of Trade support the development of a This view Development Plan highlights the need for an additional hotel within the commands the support of the local community and local hotel use and highlight that the Local Economic
- 3.3 the site. Independent planning advice suggests that any retail use planning application stands a reasonable prospect of being successful at appeal if The Council has received expressions of interest for the site from a number of developers, some of which have indicated that they would wish to refused at application stage. develop retail units. Valuation advice is that this could double the value of
- 3.4 The Council may, as landowner, seek to better protect the site against retail use by imposing C1 and B1 uses as a condition of contract, accepting a reduced capital receipt in consequence. Even this however may not protect on the usage restrictions imposed on the original sale. successful no compensation would be payable to the Council for lost profit Lands Tribunal for a determination that the condition is unreasonable. If the site in the medium term as application may be made by the owner to the

3.5 plain area of the site. Monmouth and either use will accommodate coach parking within the flood There is a shortage of suitable coach parking opportunities within

4 REASONS:

4.1 the site, accepting the financial implications thereof. with planning and local aspirations for the site. Cabinet guidance is needed on whether Council should seek as site owner to attempt to constrain use of The site is a surplus asset and the Estates unit is developing a marketing strategy for disposal. The potential for maximizing site value is in conflict

Ċ RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

reduce prospects by up to 50%. budget expectations for disposal are in excess of £1million for retail Valuation advice is that restricting usage to C1 and B1 use would

6 CONSULTEES:

Corporate Management Team Chairman of Audit and Accounts Committee Local Member Cabinet Member - Estates

Results of Consultation

Councillor Greenland has suggested that the area of land used for coach car parking be retained by the Council. This will enable us to protect any restrictions placed on the title. The exact location of this land can be agreed with the developer when a scheme has been agreed

No other comments received

7. BACKGROUND PAPERS:

œ AUTHOR:

Steve Greenslade - Corporate Director - RER

9 CONTACT DETAILS:

01633 644281

Email: stevegreenslade@monmouthshire.gov.uk

Appendix 1 – Site Plan





CABINET DECISION RECORDING LOG

DECISION DETERMINED ON: 5th MARCH 2008

DECISION WILL COME INTO EFFECT ON: 14th MARCH 2008

(Subject to "Call-in" by appropriate Select Committee)

SUBJECT DISPOSAL OF LAND AT PORTAL ROAD, MONMOUTH

DIVISION//WARD AFFECTED: Overmonnow

PURPOSE:

at Portal Road, Monmouth. To consider whether to impose landlord conditions in marketing the development site

DECISION:

no retail offers considered also retaining land for use as a Coach park. To market the Portal road site on the basis of hotel (C1) and Business Use (B1) with

REASONS:

implications thereof. aspirations for the site. Cabinet guidance is needed on whether Council should seek disposal. The potential for maximizing site value is in conflict with planning and The site is a surplus asset and the Estates unit is developing a marketing strategy for site owner to attempt to constrain use of the site, accepting the financial

RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

potential capital receipt by 50%. Valuation advice is that restricting usage to C1 and B1 use would reduce the

CONSULTEES:

Cabinet Member - Estates
Chairman of Audit and Accounts Committee
Local Member
Corporate Management Team

CABINET MEMBERS PRESENT:

W.A.L. Crump, P.A. Fox, R.J.W. Greenland, E.J. Hacket Pain, P. Murphy, E. Saxon

OTHER ELECTED MEMBERS PRESENT:

P.R. Clarke, P.A.D. Hobson, J.A. Sullivan

INTEREST DECLARED:

Z

AUTHOR:

Steve Greenslade - Corporate Director - RER

CONTACT DETAILS:

Tel: 01633 644281

Email: stevegreenslade@monmouthshire.gov.uk